Attorney Docket No.: AWL-223-2002-US Supplemental Response to Office Action dated 8/25/2008

REMARKS

Record is made of the telephone conference had with the Examiner on October 15, 2008, and this opportunity is taken to thank the Examiner for the many courtesies extended to Applicants' attorney at the conference.

The Examiner indicated that she had reviewed Applicants' Amendment filed October 10, 2008, which presented arguments of unexpected properties not recognized by the cited art combination, namely improved wet peel strength, and had concluded that the claims in issue (claims 33 and 34) did not recite any values documenting the same. The Examiner advised that amendment of claims 33 and 34 to include the wet peel strengths disclosed in the specification and included in the declaration would render those claims allowable. Applicants have amended claims 33 and 34 to include the wet peel strengths.

For the record, Applicants request that the amendment filed October 10, 2008 be considered together with this Supplemental Amendment.

Notification of allowance of claims 33 and 34 in addition to claims 12, 16 and 18-22 previously allowed is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Attorney for Applicant

Dated: October 21, 2008 /evelyn m. sommer/

Evelyn M. Sommer
Registration No. 19,603
Joshua S. Broitman
Registration No. 38,006
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY AND
BROITMAN, PC
570 Lexington Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10022-6894

Phone: (212) 681-0600